# LOSING TRICK COUNT

(Developed by F. Dudley Courtenay, popularised by Maurice Harrison-Gray during 1930's)

The Losing Trick Count **used in conjunction** with the standard point count, is a method of evaluating the trick taking potential of two combined hands playing in a suit contract. It primarily quantifies the 'shape' of the hand, and is merely a different but more formal way of adding points for length, singletons, or voids.

It should only be used when a fit has been established. Moreover I personally restrict its use to immediate responses to partner's opening bid, and to opener's re-bid if partner has supported the suit. At higher levels, trump solidity, cue-bids, controls bids, etc. are more valuable in determining the slam potential of hands.

(The examples that follow relate to a five-card major system, but the method is identical for four-card majors)

## **Mechanics**

- 1. Count losers.
- 2. Add to partner's losers.
- 3. Subtract total from 18 the answer gives the level at which you can expect to play with the fit as trumps.

## **Benchmarks**

Based on the normal Milton Point Count – minimum of 12 to open; minimum of 6 to respond (in any suit):

An opening hand will usually have a maximum of **7 losers.** A responding hand (in support, or in a change of suit situation) will have a maximum of **9** losers.

## **Counting Losers**

- Only the first three cards in any suit can be losers
- Only the Ace, King, and Queen are winners
- 'Droppable Honours' count as losers (i.e. singleton King, or doubleton Queen)

However there are modifications to be made with three card or more suits containing the Queen.

- if the Q is in the trump suit (in support response) no modification.
- if the Q is supported by the A, K, or J no modification.
- Q109 no modification.
- if the Q is not supported by any of the above  $add \frac{1}{2}$  loser.

(Examples:  $Axxx - 2 \text{ losers}; Kxx - 2 \text{ losers}; Qxx - 2\frac{1}{2} \text{ losers} (unless trump suit); QJx - 2 \text{ losers}; AQx - 1 \text{ loser}; KQx - 1 \text{ loser}; Kx - 1 \text{ loser}; Qx - 2 \text{ losers}; A - 0 \text{ losers}; K - 1 \text{ losers}).$ 

Also opinions vary with AJ10. I would consider this to be a 1 loser suit.

Any  $\frac{1}{2}$  s' are then rounded upwards – i.e.  $\frac{6}{2}$  becomes 7.

Also beware of ace-less or king-less hands (I would add ½ loser for a hand with no ace and 1 loser for the rare hands with neither ace nor king).

It should be noted that the above is a basic guide to loser counting. In the fuller system, distinctions are made between balanced and non-balanced hands – but these are for the experts.

Examples (assume responding to five-card major 1 opener):

a)	<b>♦</b> K75	b)	♠ A754	c)	<b>▲</b> K752	d)	<b>▲</b> K752	e)	<b>▲</b> K752	f)	♠ 872
	♥ A7		<b>V</b> 6		<b>♥</b> A		♥ K		♥ A		💙 K8
	♦ 9873		♦ Q97653		♦ K973		♦ Q973		♦ Q973		♦ Q764
	<b>♣</b> 7532		<b>♣</b> Q4		♣ 8742		♣ 8742		<b>♣</b> J742		♣ J742
a)	Spades –	2 los	er; Hearts –	1; E	Diamonds –	-3;C	lubs – 3: 7	ТОТА	L – 9 losers	s.	

- b) Spades -2 loser; Hearts -1; Diamonds  $-2\frac{1}{2}$ ; Clubs -2: TOTAL  $-7\frac{1}{2}$  (i.e. 8) losers.
- c) Spades 2 loser; Hearts 0; Diamonds 2; Clubs 3: TOTAL 7 losers.
- d) Spades 2 loser; Hearts 1; Diamonds 2½; Clubs 3; No Aces ½ TOTAL 9 losers.
- e) Spades -2 loser; Hearts -0; Diamonds  $-2\frac{1}{2}$ ; Clubs -3: TOTAL  $-7\frac{1}{2}$ (i.e. 8) losers.
- f) Spades -3 loser; Hearts -1; Diamonds  $-2\frac{1}{2}$ ; Clubs -3: No Aces  $-\frac{1}{2}$  TOTAL -10 losers.

#### Subtract From 18

Responder will add his known losers to opener's assumed minimum (7), and subtract from 18. This gives the support level. For example, responder with 9 losers, adds to 7 (=16), subtracts total from 18 (18 - 16) = 2, so support at the '2' level.

Take care with 7 loser support hands. Only bid direct to 4 if the hcp are minimal (i.e. a pre-emptive raise). With the same 7 losers and say a 13+ hand use your normal delayed game raise methods (change of suit; Jacoby; Baron etc.).

Responder will have based his support on an assumed 7 loser opening hand from partner. If opener has a better hand (i.e. less than 7 losers), he can raise partner's support level:

1 - 2 (9 losers) - 4 (with a five loser hand).

Also if opener is able to support a new suit from responder, he should assume responder has a 9 loser hand (see example (e) below)

Looking at examples (a) - (f) above, responder should bid as follows.

a)  $-2 \triangleq (9 \text{ losers} + \text{ assumed } 7 \text{ losers} = 16; 18 - 16 = 2).$ 

- b) -3♠ (combined 15 losers). Standard limit bids would dictate only 2♠, but this doesn't take account of the shape.
- c)  $-4 \triangleq$  (only 9 high card points. but again shape would give a good play for 10 tricks).
- d)  $-2 \bigstar$  (similar to (c), but the Q \bigstar has less trick taking potential than K \bigstar, and aceless).
- e)  $-3 \bigstar$  (combined 15 losers), whereas standard limit bids would dictate only  $2 \bigstar$ .
- f)  $-2 \bigstar$ . Ltc would indicate a limit of only  $1 \bigstar$  with 10 losers (10 + 7 = 17; 18 17 = 1), but you can't really pass with a 6 count, and you have added a full loser for the '1/2' loser (but don't be surprised if  $2 \bigstar$  goes one off if opener has a minimum).

### **Other Examples**



- a) 1 2 (9 losers) pass (½ loser added for ace-less hand); 9 + 7 = 16; 18 16 = 2 . You should eventually lose one heart, one diamond, three clubs (unless the opposition are kind to you with the club suit).
- b) 1 ▲ 2 ▲ (9 losers) 4 ▲ (5 losers): 9 + 5 = 14; 18 14 = 4 ▲. Similar to (a) but the slightly better club situation in opener's hand gives rise to only 5 losers.
- c) 1 3 = (8 losers) 4 = (6 losers); 8 + 6 = 14; 18 14 = 4 = 4. Only a combined 20 count, but ltc. enables the excellent shape to be taken into account. Two diamond ruffs lead to ten tricks.
- d) 1♠ 4♠ (7 losers). Not a certainty. Also the bid makes it more difficult for the opposition to find their heart fit.

- e) 1 1 4. North can support responder's heart suit. He has a 5-loser hand (in support). Add to partner's assumed 9 loser hand (the minimum to be able to respond) = 14. 18 14 = 4.
- f) 1♦ 1♥ 3♥ pass. North has a similar hand to (e) same points, but with one loser more, is content to bid 3♥. South with nothing extra to his assumed 9 losers, passes.
- g) 1♥ 2♥ pass. South has a nine loser hand, opener has a six loser hand, so nine tricks should be the limit (you will probably lose one spade, one diamond, two clubs.
- h) 1♥ 2♥ 4♥. Similar to (g), but opener has a five loser hand, so 4♥. Using just limit bids you would not reach game.

With examples (c) (d) and (e) above, using pure limit-bids, you would probably not have reached game.

#### **Other Uses**

The ltc. can be used in response to partner's overcall. **Overcalls** are assumed to be **8 loser hands**, so partner judges the appropriate raise based on this. A disadvantage of this approach is that in the modern game, overcalls are becoming ultra-light. So only use after overcalls if your partner is disciplined in his overcalling methods.

#### **Summary**

The ltc. should be used as a **guideline**, particularly at lower levels in determining whether to raise to the two- or three-level, or as opener, whether to try for game (possibly via a trial bid). Don't go to excesses with the ltc.