## LOSING TRICK COUNT

(Developed by F. Dudley Courtenay, popularised by Maurice Harrison-Gray during 1930's)
The Losing Trick Count used in conjunction with the standard point count, is a method of evaluating the trick taking potential of two combined hands playing in a suit contract. It primarily quantifies the 'shape' of the hand, and is merely a different but more formal way of adding points for length, singletons, or voids.
It should only be used when a fit has been established. Moreover I personally restrict its use to immediate responses to partner's opening bid, and to opener's re-bid if partner has supported the suit. At higher levels, trump solidity, cue-bids, controls bids, etc. are more valuable in determining the slam potential of hands.
(The examples that follow relate to a five-card major system, but the method is identical for four-card majors)

## Mechanics

1. Count losers.
2. Add to partner's losers.
3. Subtract total from 18 - the answer gives the level at which you can expect to play with the fit as trumps.

## Benchmarks

Based on the normal Milton Point Count - minimum of 12 to open; minimum of 6 to respond (in any suit):

An opening hand will usually have a maximum of 7 losers.
A responding hand (in support, or in a change of suit situation) will have a maximum of $\mathbf{9}$ losers.

## Counting Losers

- Only the first three cards in any suit can be losers
- Only the Ace, King, and Queen are winners
- 'Droppable Honours' count as losers (i.e. singleton King, or doubleton Queen)

However there are modifications to be made with three card or more suits containing the Queen.

- if the Q is in the trump suit (in support response) - no modification.
- if the Q is supported by the A, K, or J - no modification.
- Q109 - no modification.
- if the Q is not supported by any of the above - add $1 / 2$ loser.
(Examples: $\quad \mathrm{Axxx}-2$ losers; $\mathrm{Kxx}-2$ losers; $\mathrm{Qxx}-2^{1 ⁄ 2}$ losers (unless trump suit); $\mathrm{QJx}-2$ losers; AQx - 1 loser; KQx - 1 loser; Kx - 1 loser; Qx - 2 losers; A - 0 losers; K - 1 losers).

Also opinions vary with AJ10. I would consider this to be a 1 loser suit.
Any ' $1 / 2 \mathrm{~s}$ ' are then rounded upwards - i.e. $61 / 2$ becomes 7 .

Also beware of ace-less or king-less hands (I would add $1 / 2$ loser for a hand with no ace and 1 loser for the rare hands with neither ace nor king).

It should be noted that the above is a basic guide to loser counting. In the fuller system, distinctions are made between balanced and non-balanced hands - but these are for the experts.

Examples (assume responding to five-card major 1a opener):
a) $\quad \mathrm{K} 75$
b) A754
c) K 752
d) $\quad$ K752
e) $\quad$ K752
f) 872

- A7
$\bullet 6$
$\checkmark$ A
- 9873
Q97653
- K973
$\div 7532$
- Q4
- 8742
- K
- Q973
* 8742
-A
\& J742
- K8
- Q764
- J742
a) Spades -2 loser; Hearts -1 ; Diamonds -3 ; Clubs -3 : TOTAL -9 losers.
b) Spades -2 loser; Hearts -1 ; Diamonds $-2 \frac{1 ⁄ 2}{2}$; Clubs - 2: TOTAL-7½ (i.e. 8) losers.
c) Spades -2 loser; Hearts -0 ; Diamonds -2 ; Clubs -3 : TOTAL -7 losers.
d) Spades - 2 loser; Hearts -1 ; Diamonds $-21 / 2$; Clubs -3 ; No Aces $-1 / 2$ TOTAL - 9 losers.
e) Spades -2 loser; Hearts -0 ; Diamonds -21122 ; Clubs - 3: TOTAL-712(i.e. 8) losers.
f) Spades -3 loser; Hearts -1 ; Diamonds $-2 \frac{1}{2}$; Clubs - 3: No Aces - $1 / 2$ TOTAL - 10 losers.


## Subtract From 18

Responder will add his known losers to opener's assumed minimum (7), and subtract from 18. This gives the support level. For example, responder with 9 losers, adds to 7 (=16), subtracts total from 18 $(18-16)=2$, so support at the ' 2 ' level.

Take care with 7 loser support hands. Only bid direct to 4 if the hcp are minimal (i.e. a pre-emptive raise). With the same 7 losers and say a $13+$ hand use your normal delayed game raise methods (change of suit; Jacoby; Baron etc.).

Responder will have based his support on an assumed 7 loser opening hand from partner. If opener has a better hand (i.e. less than 7 losers), he can raise partner's support level:
$1 \boldsymbol{c}-2 \boldsymbol{( 9}$ losers $)-4 \boldsymbol{\Delta}($ with a five loser hand).
Also if opener is able to support a new suit from responder, he should assume responder has a 9 loser hand (see example (e) below)

Looking at examples (a) - (f) above, responder should bid as follows.
a) $-2(9$ losers + assumed 7 losers $=16 ; 18-16=2)$.
b) - $3 \mathbf{a}$ (combined 15 losers). Standard limit bids would dictate only $2 \boldsymbol{A}$, but this doesn't take account of the shape.
c) -4 (only 9 high card points. but again shape would give a good play for 10 tricks).
d) $-2 \boldsymbol{\Delta}$ (similar to (c), but the Q has less trick taking potential than $\mathrm{K} \downarrow$, and aceless).
e) $-3 \boldsymbol{4}$ (combined 15 losers), whereas standard limit bids would dictate only $2 \boldsymbol{a}$.
f) $-2 \boldsymbol{A}$. Ltc would indicate a limit of only 14 with 10 losers $(10+7=17 ; 18-17=1)$, but you can't really pass with a 6 count, and you have added a full loser for the ' $1 / 2$ ' loser (but don't be surprised if $2 \boldsymbol{a}$ goes one off if opener has a minimum).

## Other Examples

| a) | - AK962 | b) | - AK962 | c) | - AKQ32 | d) | - AQ754 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark 7$ |  | $\checkmark 7$ |  | - A643 |  | $\checkmark 843$ |
|  | - A854 |  | - A8542 |  | - 752 |  | - A53 |
|  | - Q52 |  | - A5 |  | - 9 |  | \& K5 |
|  | - QJ84 |  | - QJ84 |  | - 8654 |  | - K942 |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q852 |  | $\bullet$ Q852 |  | $\checkmark$ K95 |  | $\checkmark 5$ |
|  | - K |  | - K |  | - 8 |  | - K97642 |
|  | ¢ J863 |  | \& J863 |  | - A10862 |  | -86 |
| e) | - 7 | f) | - 72 | g) | - 6 | h) | - 6 |
|  | $\checkmark$ KQ74 |  | - KQ74 |  | $\checkmark$ AK843 |  | - AK843 |
|  | - AK9642 |  | - AK964 |  | - A9542 |  | - A9542 |
|  | - 73 |  | - 73 |  | - Q8 |  | - A8 |
|  | - 843 |  | - 843 |  | @ J 742 |  | \& J742 |
|  | $\checkmark$ A9632 |  | - A9632 |  | $\bullet$ QJ72 |  | $\bullet$ QJ72 |
|  | - 107 |  | - 107 |  | - J |  | - J |
|  | - K54 |  | - K54 |  | * J752 |  | * J752 |

a) 1 - $2 \boldsymbol{( 9}$ (losers) - pass ( $1 / 2$ loser added for ace-less hand); $9+7=16 ; 18-16=2 \boldsymbol{L}$. You should eventually lose one heart, one diamond, three clubs (unless the opposition are kind to you with the club suit).
b) $1 \mathbf{~ - ~} 2$ ( 9 losers) -4 ( 5 losers $)$ : $9+5=14 ; 18-14=4$. Similar to (a) but the slightly better club situation in opener's hand gives rise to only 5 losers.
 enables the excellent shape to be taken into account. Two diamond ruffs lead to ten tricks.
d) 1at ( 7 losers). Not a certainty. Also the bid makes it more difficult for the opposition to find their heart fit.
e) $1 \uparrow-1 \downarrow-4 \vee$. North can support responder's heart suit. He has a 5 -loser hand (in support). Add to partner's assumed 9 loser hand (the minimum to be able to respond) $=14.18-14=4$.
f) $1 \downarrow-1 \downarrow-3 \downarrow$ - pass. North has a similar hand to (e) - same points, but with one loser more, is content to bid $3 \downarrow$. South with nothing extra to his assumed 9 losers, passes.
g) 1ヶ-2 - pass. South has a nine loser hand, opener has a six loser hand, so nine tricks should be the limit (you will probably lose one spade, one diamond, two clubs.
h) $1 \downarrow-2 \downarrow-4 \vee$. Similar to (g), but opener has a five loser hand, so $4 \vee$. Using just limit bids you would not reach game.

With examples (c) (d) and (e) above, using pure limit-bids, you would probably not have reached game.

## Other Uses

The ltc. can be used in response to partner's overcall. Overcalls are assumed to be $\mathbf{8}$ loser hands, so partner judges the appropriate raise based on this. A disadvantage of this approach is that in the modern game, overcalls are becoming ultra-light. So only use after overcalls if your partner is disciplined in his overcalling methods.

## Summary

The ltc. should be used as a guideline, particularly at lower levels in determining whether to raise to the two- or three-level, or as opener, whether to try for game (possibly via a trial bid). Don't go to excesses with the ltc.

