Gloucester BC Results

This is a brief guide to the results which are posted to our website after each session. You may wish to print this guide for your use.

Visit Gloucester BC website and select the Results tab.

Select the Results of interest and see the Ranking and Scorecards for that event, for example those from the 13th July session:

13th July 2023 - Evening Summer Pairs

| Ranking | Scorecards | Matrix | Travellers | Hands |

Pos	No	Players	Match Points	Tops	Score %	Master Points
1	8	Brenda Prosser & Alan Stanfield	97	156	62.18	18
2	6	Colleen George & Stewart Harrison	93	156	59.62	12
3	4	Glenn & Elizabeth Bourne	91	156	58.33	6
4	7	John Stirrup & Simon Halliday	85	156	54.49	
5	1	Phil Rattenbury & Rosiah Gates	83	156	53.21	
6	3	Di Ainsworth & Malcolm Lay	80	156	51.28	
7	2	Dave Errington & Stewart Jones	58	156	37.18	
8	5	Mike Pratt & Enid Castle	37	156	23.72	

Select the pairing of interest, for example Brenda & Alan:

But first, to explain how an individual board is scored, select a board of interest, for example:

Board No 1 None Vul Dealer North

NS	EW	Bid	By	Ld	Tks	+Sc	-Sc	+	-
2 Dave Errington Stewart Jones	6 Colleen George Stewart Harrison	1NT	W	♦9	9		150		1 5
3 Di Ainsworth Malcolm Lay	7 John Stirrup Simon Halliday	1NT	W	♦Q	9		150		1 5
4 Glenn Bourne Elizabeth Bourne	8 Brenda Prosser Alan Stanfield	4♥	W	♦Q	8	100		6	0
5 Mike Pratt Enid Castle	1 Phil Rattenbury Rosiah Gates	1NT	W	♦Q	6	50		4	2

This shows the outcome for each pair that played that board.

NS pairs are allocated 2 points (known as Match Points) for each NS pair they scored higher than, and 1 point for each pair that they scored the same as.

So for 4 NS pairs playing the board, there are 6+4+2=12 points available.

For example, Glenn and Elizabeth scored higher (with a score of 100 for beating the 4H contract by 2 tricks) than Dave & Stewart (score of -150), Di and Malcolm (score of -150) and Mike & Enid (Score of 50) and therefore get 6

points. Mike and Enid beat Dave & Stewart and Di & Malcolm, so get 4 points. Dave & Stewart and Di &Malcolm scored 1 point each.

The EW pairs score the reverse of the NS pairs. So because Glenn & Elizabeth scored 6 points, Brenda & Alan score 0 points.

The picture also shows the cards in each hand, the number of High Card Points in each hand and the 'model' number of tricks which could be obtained in each contract. For example, E or W should be able to make 10 tricks in a Heart contract, but only 8 tricks in any other suit or NT contract. So since Brenda & Alan made only 8 tricks in their 4H contract, it could be argued that they didn't play it well or that Glenn and Elizabeth defended well. However, it showed that they were in the best contract.

These results for an individual board are rolled up:

8 - Brenda & Alan

Board	Dir	Versus	Bid	By	Ld	Tks	+	-	Pts	%
1			4♥	W	♦Q	8		100		0
2			4♥	S	♥2	10		620		0
3	EW 4	Glenn & Elizabeth	3NT	W	♦4	9	600		3	50
4			4♥	N	♣K	10		620	1	17
5			3NT	S	♣J	9	600		5	83
6			4♥	W	♦A	11		650		0
7	NS 3	Di & Malcolm	2♠	N	♣Q	10	170		2	33
8			2♠	N	♥K	8	110		3	50
9			4♥	S	♦6	6	200		6	100
10		Dave & Stewart	3NT	E	♣8	10	630		6	100
11	EW 2		2♠	N	♦K	9		140	3	50
12			3NT	E	♥4	9	400		6	100
13			4♠	W	♥10	10	620		4	67
14			4♠	N	♣9	8	100		4	67
15	EW 1	Phil & Rosiah	2NT	W	♥6	8	120		4	67
16			3NT	W	♣6	12	690		6	100

So the results for board 1, for Brenda & Alan, were that they scored 0 points out of a possible 6 points. This is converted to a percentage ie 0%. On board 3, they scored 3 points out of a possible 6 ie 50%, and on board 13 they scored 4 points out of a possible 6 ie 67%.

From this, a pair can see which boards have given them a good result and which boards gave them a poor result.

Brenda & Alan's full results for the session were as below.

Board	Dir	Versus	Bid	By	Ld	Tks	+	−	Pts	%
1	EW 4	Glenn & Elizabeth	4♥	W	♦Q	8		100	0	0
2	.....		4♥	S	♥2	10		620	0	0
3	.....		3NT	W	♦4	9	600		3	50
4	.....		4♥	N	♣K	10		620	1	16
5	NS 3	Di & Malcolm	3NT	S	♣J	9	600		5	83
6	.....		4♥	W	♦A	11		650	0	0
7	.....		2♠	N	♣Q	10	170		2	33
8	.....		2♠	N	♥K	8	110		3	50
9	EW 2	Dave & Stewart	4♥	S	♦6	6	200		6	100
10	.....		3NT	E	♣8	10	630		6	100
11	.....		2♠	N	♦K	9		140	3	50
12	.....		3NT	E	♥4	9	400		6	100
13	EW 1	Phil & Rosiah	4♠	W	♥10	10	620		4	66
14	.....		4♠	N	♣9	8	100		4	66
15	.....		2NT	W	♥6	8	120		4	66
16	.....		3NT	W	♠6	12	690		6	100
17	EW 7	John & Simon	2NT	N	♦J	7	50		6	100
18	.....		2♣	W	♠A	7		50	4	66
19	.....		2♠	N	♣8	11	200		2	33
20	.....		2♠	N	♣3	9	140		3	50
21	EW 5	Mike & Enid	1NT*	E	♥2	4		500	6	100
22	.....		3NT	E	♥2	10	630		6	100
23	.....		3NT	W	♥8	10	630		4	66
25	NS 6	Colleen & Stewart	6♥	E	♥4	11	100		6	100
26	.....		3NT	W	♣2	11		660	1	16
27	.....		4♠	S	♣10	11	450		6	100

So the results for all the boards played by each pair are rolled up to give an overall ranking.

The total of Brenda & Alan's points from each board came to 97. The average of Brenda & Alan's % scores on each board came to 62%, which was higher than any of the other pairs. Results are shown in ranked order, as below.

The EBU awards Master Points on a scale which varies according to the success itself, the size of the competition and its status. About the top one-third of players in a competition will be entitled to Master Points and they are accumulated across all sessions, at all clubs in which a player takes part. So Brenda & Alan were awarded 18 Master Points from this session. You can see your Master Points total on the EBU website (MyEBU). Note that Master Points are not awarded from the Tuesday Gentle Duplicate sessions, as players will be receiving help during the sessions.

13th July 2023 - Evening Summer Pairs

Ranking | Scorecards | Matrix | Travellers | Hands

Pos	No	Players	Match Points	Tops	Score %	Master Points
1	8	Brenda Prosser & Alan Stanfield	97	156	62.18	18
2	6	Colleen George & Stewart Harrison	93	156	59.62	12
3	4	Glenn & Elizabeth Bourne	91	156	58.33	6
4	7	John Stirrup & Simon Halliday	85	156	54.49	
5	1	Phil Rattenbury & Rosiah Gates	83	156	53.21	
6	3	Di Ainsworth & Malcolm Lay	80	156	51.28	
7	2	Dave Errington & Stewart Jones	58	156	37.18	
8	5	Mike Pratt & Enid Castle	37	156	23.72	